

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/063,907 05/22/2002		Christine J. Phillips	124078-1 (GP4-0022)	4392		
23413 7	590 08/15/2006		EXAMINER			
CANTOR CO	OLBURN, LLP		FERRIS III, FRED O			
BLOOMFIELI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2128			
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/063,907		Applicant(s)
		PHILLIPS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred Ferris	2128

	Fred Ferris		2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITIO	ON FOR ALLOWA	NCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) a ptice of Appeal (w ce with 37 CFR 1	in amendment, aff ith appeal fee) in o .114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or ( ater than SIX MON (b). ONLY CHECK	2) the date set forth THS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the cor shortened statutory r than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/o	or search (see NO	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>				the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submit	ted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be en wided below or ap	tered, or b) 🗌 wi opended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-25</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reaso	ns why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejec	ctions under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of	the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place	e the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or P	TO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	_
13.  Other:			The Frank	y Experimen
			· // //	ンパー

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' amendment to claim 13 has overcome the previous 112 rejection relating to the multi-dimensional graphics component". However, the preamble recitation of "utilizing interface techniques including at least ONE of" remains sufficiently broad to "read on" the prior art as previously noted. Applicant's request for reconsideration of 112 rejections relating to "permissible relationships" is not persuasive since the arguments now appear to offer an alternate interpretation indicating that the wizard actually determines permissible relationships. However, there appears to be no clear teaching of specifically HOW the "wizard" determines the permissible relationships. Applicants 103 rejection arguments relating to the number of steps required by ChemSketch to create a chemical structure are not persuasive since there are no claimed limitations which would distinguish the number of steps required, or how "easily" components are attached in the language of the claims as currently presented.